



AF  
IF

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of: Marcy L. Freed et al. )  
 )  
For: Treatment Of Oropharyngeal ) Conf. No. 5094  
Disorders By Application Of )  
Neuromuscular Electrical )  
Stimulation )  
 )  
Serial No.: 10/782,620 ) Art Unit: 3762  
 )  
Filed: February 18, 2004 ) Examiner: Scott M. Getzow

AMENDMENT/RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

July 6, 2007

Mail Stop AF  
Commissioner For Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Enclosed is an Amendment/Response to an Office Action which was mailed on June 25, 2007 in connection with the application identified above. Also enclosed is a postcard receipt addressed to Applicant's attorney. Please file the Response, mark the postcard with the date of receipt and return it to the undersigned attorney. Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

David J. Hill  
Attorney for Applicants  
(Registration No. 28427)  
Chambliss, Bahner & Stophel, P.C.  
1000 Tallan Building  
Two Union Square  
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2500  
423/756-3000

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail with postage prepaid in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, on July 6, 2007.

Angela Williams  
Date of Signature: July 6, 2007



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of: Marcy L. Freed et al. )  
)  
For: Treatment Of Oropharyngeal ) Conf. No. 5094  
Disorders By Application Of )  
Neuromuscular Electrical )  
Stimulation )  
)  
Serial No.: 10/782,620 ) Art Unit: 3762  
)  
Filed: February 18, 2004 ) Examiner: Scott M. Getzow

**AMENDMENT/RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION**

July 6, 2007

Mail Stop AF  
Commissioner For Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is a response to the Office Action that was mailed on June 25, 2007. Claims 10-12, 14 and 15 have been rejected as being obvious in view of a combination of U.S. Patent No. 4,895,154 of Bartelt et al. and U.S. Patent No. 4,813,418 of Harris. Claim 13 has been rejected as being obvious in view of a combination of U.S. Patent No. 4,895,154 of Bartelt et al., U.S. Patent No. 4,813,418 of Harris, U.S. Patent No. 6,745,082 of Axelgaard and U.S. Patent No. 6,141,575 of Price. Claims 1-9 and 16-20 have been allowed. Applicants have amended the application to cancel claims 10-15, leaving all remaining claims in the application allowable.